REMARKS

I. Introduction

In response to the non-final Office Action mailed April 7, 2008, the Assignee submits the appended amendments and following remarks. In the amendments, claim 4 is added. Examples of support for claim 4 can be found in the specification at page 36, lines 1 – 4 and in Figure 10. Upon entry of the amendments, claims 1 and 3 – 4 are pending.

For at least the reasons below, Assignee traverses the Office Action's rejections and kindly requests allowance of claims 1 and 3-4.

II. Rejection of Claims 1 and 3 under Section 102(b)

The Office Action rejected claims 1 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,673,293 to Scarpa, *et al.* ("Scarpa").

To sustain a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), each element as set forth in a claim must be expressly or inherently described in a single prior art reference. (MPEP § 2131). Scarpa does not expressly or inherently describe each element recited in claims 1 and 3. Thus, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Specifically, Scarpa fails to disclose or suggest "deriving control signals from said soft and hard decision samples, wherein the control signals comprise a candidate error term and a combining weight; and using said control signals to jointly determine operation of said timing recovery module, said carrier recovery module, said automatic gain control module, and said equalization module," as recited in claim 1.

It appears the Office Action contends Scarpa discloses control signals to jointly

determine operation as "modulation type selection (MTS) signals." (See Office Action, pg.

2). Even assuming that MTS signals are control signals to jointly determine operation, which

the Assignee is not conceding, the MTS signals are not derived from soft and hard decision

samples, as is required by claim 1. Instead, the MTS signals are derived from the presence of

a tone or the absence of a tone of a received signal. (See Scarpa, column 13, lines 20 - 26;

Fig. 4). If a tone is detected, the MTS signals indicate a Vestigal Sideband (VSB) signal is

being received. (See id). If no tone is detected, the MTS signals indicate a Quadrature

Amplitude Modulation (QAM) signal is being received. (See id). The tone is not a soft

decision sample or a hard decision sample and, thus, the MTS signals are not derived from

soft decision samples and hard decision samples. Accordingly, the Assignee submits that

Scarpa fails to disclose each element recited in claim 1.

Moreover, and again assuming the MTS signals are control signals to jointly

determine operation, which is not conceded, the MTS signals do not "comprise a candidate

error term and a combining weight," as is required by claim 1. Instead, the MTS signals

merely indicate to the demodulator that it should operate in VSB mode if a tone is received

or in QAM mode if a tone is not received. (See Scarpa, column 13, lines 20 - 26).

Since, even if Scarpa discloses controls signals that are MTS signals, Scarpa fails to

disclose controls signals to jointly determine operation that are derived "from said soft and

hard decision samples" and "comprise a candidate error term and a combining weight,"

US2000 10795581.1

Serial No. 10/782,316

Filing Date February 19, 2004

Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action

Page 6

Scarpa fails to disclose or suggest each element recited in claim 1. For at least these reasons,

Scarpa does not anticipate claim 1. Prompt withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of

claim 1 is kindly requested. Claim 3 depends from and further limits claim 1. Accordingly,

the Assignee respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claim 3 as

well.

III. New Claim 4

New Claim 4 depends from and further limits claim 1. Reasons for allowance for

claim 1 are provided above. For at least those same reasons, the Assignee submits claim 4 is

patentable in view of the cited references. Allowance of claim 4 is kindly requested.

CONCLUSION

After entry of the amendments, claims 1 and 3-4 are pending in the application. The

Office Action rejection is believed to be traversed by the present response. Accordingly,

Assignee respectfully requests allowance of claims 1 and 3-4. The Examiner is invited and

encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney of record at (404) 745-2520 if such contact

will facilitate a Notice of Allowance. If any additional fees are due, the Commissioner is

hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account

No. 11-0855.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jason D. Gardner 58180/

Jason D. Gardner

Reg. No. 58180

Attorney for the Assignee

DATE: July 29, 2008

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

1100 Peachtree Street

Suite 2800

Atlanta, Georgia, 30309-4530

404 745-2520 (direct)

404 541 4619 (direct fax)

US2000 10795581.1